

	ROUTIN	G AND	RECOR	D SHEET 82-1836
SUBJECT: (Optional) National Intelligence Topi	cs (NIT	s)		
FROM: IPC			EXTENSION	™DDI 5909/82
				DATE 19 July 1982
TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)	DATE		OFFICER'S	COMMENTS [Number each comment to show from whom
	RECEIVED	FORWARDED	INITIALS	to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)
1. ADDI				
2.				
3. DDI		21 Juri	0	
4. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.		1962	8	
5. 20-				De wel
DOET NOW COPY				13th - when
6.				1 1/4-1
7. DCI	172 8/2	JUI 198	Ms	Bot-when do wt have SIG-II meeting on NITT
8. D.D (meeting on
9.		. =		W
10.				
11.	e serje e e e			
12.	-			
13.				
14.				
15.				

FORM 610 USE PREVIOUS EDITIONS

	SECRET,	82-1836	25X1	
		DDI 5909/82 21 JUL 1982		
MEMORANDUM FOR:	Director of Central Intelli	gence		
THROUGH:	Deputy Director of Central	Intelligence		
FROM:	Robert M. Gates Deputy Director for Intelli	gence		
SUBJECT:	National Intelligence Topic	es (NITs)		
1. Action Requested: None; this memorandum provides information on changes in the NIT process.				
2. Background: In 1981, the Intelligence Community changed its method of responding to the NITs of Current Interest. Instead of merely listing the titles of pertinent publications produced during the year, the Community, as you suggested, prepared coordinated narrative answers to each question. With a few exceptions, feedback from policymakers indicated this change was a useful one in that it provided an excellent summary of Community judgments on key issues and insight into those gaps which remain in our collection and understanding on each topic. Responses were more critical on the Continuing Interest NITs. These comments suggest that the Continuing Interest NITs need to be reevaluated in terms of their content and utility.				
year. We have a Community will p 1982 NITs, as co topics. Those a indicating where statements will six months later possible, more caddition to the listing of pertistart the annual NITs for 1983.	der change in the NIT process already alerted policymakers brovide them, soon after the emplete answers as possible to answers, if appropriate, will more analysis or collection also be disseminated to the end of the community will prepare complete set of answers for an arrative answers, this response to the ligence publication review, leading again to a light believe this change in the entire timely response.	that the Intelligence dissemination of the to each of the current include a statement is needed. (Those Community.) Four to e an updated and, if the policymakers. In conse will contain a cons. This step would restatement of the	25X1	
			25X1	

SECRET

Approved For Release 2007/04/30: CIA-RDP83M00914R002700110004-1

9 1 25X1

25X1

SUBJECT: National Intelligence Topics (NITs)

DD1 5909/82

4. As reflected in policymakers' comments on the 1981 NITs, and in the recently-completed consumer survey, we must be continuously alert to ways for making the process more ilexible and responsive. I plan to have the Intelligence Producers Council examine the entire NIT process; assess its strengths and weaknesses; and identify, if possible, alternative measures for more effectively communicating policymaker needs to the intelligence producers and for improving producer responsiveness to those needs. At the upcoming IG(I) meeting, I will discuss the NIT process in general and solicit suggestions for ways to enhance the effectiveness and the utility of the NITs.

25X1

25X1

Robert M. Gates

I also intend to pursue your idea of last year to put the sesponses into a computer and keep them updated for immediate availability. See let you what I discover.

RG.

79